IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

TUESDAY, THE 7TH AUGUST 2007 / 16TH SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 301 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.706/2001 Dated 24/05/2006
.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER;

K.K.THAMBI, AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O. K.C.KESAVAN, GENERAL SECRETARY,
KERALA CIVIL JUDICIAL STAFF ORGANISATION,
STATE COMMITTEE, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. DR.K.P.SATHEESAN
SRI.K.K.GOPINATHAN NAIR
SRI.M.R.JAYAPRASAD

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS 1 & 2
---

1. MRS. LISSY JACOB, AGED 54 YEARS,
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
PRESENTLY WORKING AS THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
STATE OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. MR. K.J.MATHEW, AGED 56 YEARS,
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
PRESENTLY WORKING AS THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF
SECRETARY, STATE OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. MEERA.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

Contempt Case (Civil) No. 301 of 2007-S

Dated this the 7th day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

The petitioner in O.P.No.706 of 2001 has filed this contempt case, alleging *inter alia*, that the respondents in the original petition have disobeyed the orders and directions issued by this court, while disposing of O.P.No.706 of 2001 dated 24th May, 2006.

2. While entertaining the contempt case, we had issued notice to the respondents. They have entered appearance through learned Government Advocate.

3. On instruction, the learned Government Advocate would submit that the respondents being aggrieved by the orders passed by this court in O.P.No.706 of 2001 has filed S.L.P.No.2126 of 2007 and leave has been granted on 9-3-2007.

4. In view of the above development, in our opinion, as on today, the reliefs sought for by the complainant/petitioner in this contempt case cannot be granted by us. Accordingly, the contempt case proceedings are dropped. However, liberty is reserved to the complainant/ petitioner, if he so desires, to approach this court, if need arises in future.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

MS